# SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 14 January 2016 Accepted: 17 August 2017 Published online: 06 September 2017

## **The impact of wavelengths of LED OPENlight-therapy on endothelial cells**

**Sabrina Rohringer1,2,4, Wolfgang Holnthoner1,2, Sidrah Chaudary1,2, Paul Slezak1,2, Eleni Priglinger1,2, Martin Strassl3, Karoline Pill1,2, Severin Mühleder1,2, Heinz Redl 1,2 & Peter Dungel1,2**

**Low level light therapy receives increasing interest in the felds of tissue regeneration and wound healing. Several** *in vivo* **studies demonstrated the positive efects of LLLT on angiogenesis. This study aimed to investigate the underlying properties** *in vitro* **by comparing the efects of light therapy by light emitting diodes of diferent wavelengths on endothelial cells** *in vitro***. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells were treated with either 475nm, 516nm or 635nm light. Control cells were not illuminated. 2D proliferation was quantifed by manual counting. HUVEC migration was analyzed by performing a 2D wound scratch assay and a 3D bead assay. The infuence of LLLT on early vasculogenic events was determined in a 3D fbrin co-culture model with adipose-derived stem cells. Stimulation with both red and green pulsed LED light signifcantly increased HUVEC proliferation and 3D migration. Moreover, HUVEC showed increased 2D migration potential with green light stimulation. The treatment with blue light was inefective. Several parameters showed that green light was even more potent to stimulate proliferation and migration of endothelial cells than clinically well-established red light therapy. Further studies have to focus on intracellular mechanisms induced by diferent wavelengths in order to optimize this promising therapy in tissue regeneration.**

During the last few decades, numerous studies demonstrated the benefic al efects of low level light therapy (LLLT) for the treatment of various pathologies. Beside improvement of peripheral nerve regeneration<sup>1</sup>, reduction of inflammatory reactions<sup>2</sup> and enhancement of bone formation<sup>3</sup>, the promotion of wound healing and angiogenesis represents an important fi ld of application for LLLT<sup>[4](#page-9-0), [5](#page-9-1)</sup>.

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels out of pre-existing ones, is mediated by several growth factors, most prominently members of the vascular endothelial growth factors (VEGF) family. Afer secretion of these factors from the surrounding tissue endothelial cells, which line the inner walls of blood vessels, proliferate, migrate and form new capillary networks in sites of tissue damage. These small vessels are hyperpermeable, allowing the release of macromolecules for degrading the surrounding matrix which in turn facilitates the formation of more endothelial network structures<sup>[6](#page-9-2)</sup>. It has been shown in several *in vivo* studies that vasculogenesis, the *de novo* formation of new capillary networks from endothelial progenitor cells, as well as angiogenesis can be triggered by mechanical or light stimulation<sup>[5](#page-9-1),7-[9](#page-9-4)</sup>.

Currently the main light sources for LLLT are lasers<sup>10</sup>. Ricci *et al.* investigated the effects of laser light on endothelial cell morphology, suggesting that LLLT influences the organization of endothelial cytoskeletons<sup>11</sup>. Schindl *et al*. observed a dose-dependent increase of human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) proliferation by laser light $12$ . Lasers, however, are associated with several disadvantages. Light emitting diodes (LED) can be efective alternative light sources, providing advantages like broad beam width and cost-effici cy. LED already moved into the focus of research and have recently been shown to be similarly efective. Park *et al*. showed that red, continuous LED light enhanced neovascularisation in a skin wound model in mice<sup>[9](#page-9-4)</sup>. However, there is still controversy about the efectiveness of LED light versus lasers. So far, the majority of studies had been conducted with light in the red or infrared spectrum. There is increasing evidence, that shorter wavelengths can signifcantly support tissue regeneration processes. We could show that light can trigger the release of the important mediator nitric oxide (NO) bound to either mitochondrial proteins<sup>[13](#page-9-8)</sup> or hemoglobin<sup>[5](#page-9-1)</sup> and this release occurs in a wavelength-dependent manner with shorter wavelengths being more efficient. Adamskaya *et al*. compared the efects of diferent wavelengths in an excision model in rats and demonstrated that blue

1 Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Experimental and Clinical Traumatology, Donaueschingenstrasse 13, 1200, Vienna, Austria. <sup>2</sup>Austrian Cluster for Tissue Regeneration, Vienna, Austria. <sup>3</sup>Laser Consult Austria e.U., Salzburg, Austria. 4Present address: Max F. Perutz Laboratories, University of Vienna, Dr. Bohr-Gasse 9/3, 1030, Vienna, Austria. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.D. (email: [Peter.Dungel@trauma.lbg.ac.at](mailto:Peter.Dungel@trauma.lbg.ac.at))



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Experimental plan. Cells used for 2D experiments were stimulated on day 0 whereas cells embedded in 3D fbrin matrices were stimulation on day 0 and subsequently every 24h until quantifi ation was performed. HUVEC monolayers used for scratch assay were stimulated directly afer performing the scratch and the migration was evaluated afer 6h. HUVEC for 2D proliferation experiments were stimulated afer cells attached to the culture dish (approximately 2hours afer seeding) and counted every 24h for 3 days. For 3D migration assays, NO measurement and angiogenesis protein array cells seeded to fbrin matrices were stimulated directly afer polymerization of the fbrin clot and subsequently every 24h for 4 days. Quantifi ation of migrated cells was performed on day 4. 3D vascularization was determined by stimulating the cell-seeded fbrin clots directly after polymerization and every 24h for one week. The quantifi ation of 3D cell proliferation and vascularization was done afer 4 and afer 7 days.

light was more potent to reduce wound size and enhance epithelialisation[14.](#page-9-9) Dungel *et al*. showed that treatment with both red and blue LED light signifi antly enhanced angiogenesis and perfusion in a skin fap model in rats<sup>[8](#page-9-10)</sup>.

A special feature in light therapy is the use of pulsed wave modes. Huang *et al*. reviewed the efect of pulsing in LLLT and concluded that currently there is some evidence that pulsed light indeed exerts efects that are diferent from those of continuous wave light[15](#page-9-11). Barolet *et al*. reported that specific pulsing patterns had a more favorable impact on the ability of fbroblasts to produce collagen *de novo* than comparative conditions of continuous wav[e16.](#page-9-12) Brondon *et al*. demonstrated that pulsing had a signifi antly greater stimulatory efect on cell proliferation and oxidative burst as compared to the continuous photoradiation group<sup>17</sup>.

So far, several studies revealed angiogenic and vasculogenic efects of lasers and LLLT *in vivo*, a systematic comparison between diferent wavelengths on the formation of vascular structures *in vitro* remained elusive. Therefore, in this study we aimed to evaluate the influence of pulsed LED light of three different wavelengths on endothelial cells. 2D as well as 3D proliferation and migration assays were performed. A well-established 3D fibrin gel co-culture model<sup>18, [19](#page-9-15)</sup> with HUVEC and adipose-derived stem cells (ASC) was used to assess early vasculogenic events afer one week of culture with continuous LLLT stimulation. In addition, protein expression levels in response to LLLT were determined.

#### **Results**

**LLLT by red and green LED light increases the proliferation of HUVEC in a 2D culture environment while blue LED light decreases cell metabolic activity.** Figure [1](#page-1-0) illustrates the study design. The effects of LLLT of different wavelengths on both proliferation and cell metabolic activity of endothelial cells were evaluated in HUVEC afer initial light treatment at 24 h, 48h and 72h. Within the fi st 48h afer stimulation, cells proliferated similar in all stimulated and non-stimulated groups. At 24h and 48h there were no signifi ant diferences between any light treated group and untreated controls. Afer 72 hours, however, stimulation with green and red light signifi antly enhanced HUVEC proliferation. Mean values of cell counts were 146% higher in the green light group ( $P = 0.041$ ) and 144% higher in the red light group ( $P = 0.043$ ) compared to controls. Blue light treatment showed only a 45% increase compared to the control group (Fig. [2A\)](#page-2-0). Regarding cell metabolic activity 72 h afer light stimulation there was a decrease in all light treated groups compared to control, which reached statistical signifi ance in the blue light group.

**HUVEC show signifcantly higher migration with green and red LED light stimulation.** In order to analyze pro-migratory efects of LLLT on HUVEC *in vitro*, 2D wound scratch assays as well as 3D migration assays were performed. Green light stimulation signifi antly enhanced HUVEC 2D migration leading to



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Efects of pulsed LED light on proliferation (**A**) and cell metabolic activity (**B**) of HUVEC cultured in a 2D cell culture model. Stimulation of HUVEC on day 0 with green and red light had no signifi ant efect on metabolic activity while cell proliferation was signifi antly increased. In contrast, blue light signifi antly decreased metabolic activity and showed no effect on proliferation. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Figure 3.** Efects of pulsed LED light on 2D- and 3D-migration *in vitro*. (**A**) Stimulation with light enhanced wound closure in scratch assays which reached signifi ance in the green LED group. (**B**) In a 3D model of HUVEC-coated Cytodex 3 beads in fbrin matrices red and green light resulted in an increased migration of cells towards the fbrin gel while blue light was not efective. (**C**) Efects of pulsed LED light on ROS formation. Only blue light induced a signifi ant rise in ROS. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001.

faster reduction of the wound scratch area determined at 6 h afer LLLT compared control (Fig. [3A](#page-2-1)). While in the untreated control group wound area was reduced within 6 h by only 28.7 $\pm$ 11.1%, there was a reduction of  $32.0 \pm 9.6\%$  in the blue light group,  $36.6 \pm 6.3\%$  in the red light group and  $40.1 \pm 8.0\%$  in the green light treated group which was statistically signifi ant compared to control ( $P=0.046$ ).

Additionally, migration was also investigated in a 3D cell culture model. The quantifi ation of cells migrating away from Cytodex beads into the surrounding fbrin gel matrix showed that both red and green light had signif-icant influence on 3D migration after 4 days (Fig. [3B\)](#page-2-1). While in the control group  $19.8 \pm 16.2$  cells were migrating



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 4.** Efects of pulsed LED light on 3D cell proliferation and vasculogenesis in 1:0.01 co-cultures of HUVEC with ASC. (**A**,**B**) Representative images of LED stimulated fbrin clots containing GFP-HUVEC/ ASC co-cultures in a ratio of 1:0.01 afer 4 days (**A**) and 7 days (**B**) of culture. (**C**) An increase of the area occupied by cells was determined in all light treated groups which reached signifi ance with red and green light. (**D**) A trend to enhanced proliferation determined as occupied area was still observable afer 7 days with red light being more potent. Th s effect, however, was not signifi ant  $\epsilon$  The form factor of GFP-HUVEC was signifi antly reduced in all LED light stimulated groups, indicating increased cell stretching necessary to form cell-cell interactions. (**F**) Afer 7 days cell elongation was detectable in cells treated with green and red light. (**G**) Quantifi ation of cellular junctions in 1:1 HUVEC/ASC co-cultures afer one week showed a trend to enhanced network formation with red light treatment and a signifi ant influence of green light. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01. 

away from the beads, this number signifi antly increased in the green light group to  $47.8 \pm 19.9$  cells (P=0.047) and to 53.2  $\pm$  7.1 cells in the red group (P = 0.0041). Blue light showed no effect and was in the same range as the control group.

**Effects of LLLT on endothelial cells embedded in 3D fibrin matrices.** The effects of LED light of diferent wavelengths on endothelial cells placed on an extracellular matrix were tested using the Matrigel assay. Neither wavelength did have a significant effect on the formation of primitive endothelial networks (Supp. Figure [1\)](http://1). Since this assay is restricted to vasculogenic infuences within 24 h we proceeded with our well-established 3D co-culture model of GFP-HUVEC and human ASC in a fbrin matrix (1:0.01 HUVEC/ASC seeding ratio). Figure [4](#page-3-0) shows representative images of stimulated 3D co-cultures afer 4 days (Fig. [4A](#page-3-0)) and on day 7 (Fig. [4B\)](#page-3-0). At the 1:0.01 ratio no mature network formation was detected within 7 days. However, cells in the LED-stimulated groups showed increased cell elongation indicating enhanced activity to form cell-cell interactions. Quantifi ation of the occupied area confi med also in this co-culture model that stimulation with green and red light increased GFP-HUVEC proliferation (Fig. [4C](#page-3-0)). The area occupied by cells was signifi antly enhanced by red ( $\sim$ 40%) and green ( $\sim$ 45%) light treatment. The quantifi ation in Fig. [4E](#page-3-0) represents form factor changes of



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

GFP-HUVEC in HUVEC/ASC co-cultures (1:0.01) afer 4 days. Here, a form factor reduction of 10% in all LED light treated groups was observed. Afer 7 days of culture, the was still a trend in the green and red light treated group to a larger cell-occupied area compared to control (Fig. [4D](#page-3-0)). Accordingly, the form factor was still signifcantly decreased by approximately 15% in the red and green light treated group (Fig. [4F\)](#page-3-0). Using mCherry-labelled ASC and GFP-labelled HUVEC in a 1:1 ratio we see the two cell types interacting (Sup. Figure  $2A$ ,B). The formation of cell-cell junctions in a 1:1 ratio of ASC:EC afer one week was verifi d by immunostaining with antiCD31 antibodies (Supp. Figure [3A,B\)](http://3A,B).

**LLLT elevates NO production of HUVEC/ASC co-cultures.** NO levels were determined afer light treatment in the cell culture supernatant. There was a trend to increased NO formation in the red and green light treated group compared to control immediately afer light treatment (Fig. [5\)](#page-4-0). No diferences were detected afer 4 days.

**LLLT infuences the protein expression in HUVEC/ASC co-cultures.** To evaluate if LLLT has efects on the expression of angiogenesis-related proteins, 55 diferent angiogenesis-related cytokines were analyzed in the supernatants of HUVEC/ASC co-cultures (1:0.01) (Table [1](#page-5-0)). Dependent on the wavelength various factors were infuenced by light. Blue light upregulated dipeptidylpeptidase IV (DPPIV) by 90%, and neuregulin1-b1 (NRG1-b1) by approximately 50%. Moreover, placenta growth factor (PIGF) levels were 80% higher afer blue light stimulation. Green light treatment led to an increase of hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) by 60% and an enhancement of NRG1-b1 by 120%. Furthermore, serpin F1 was upregulated by 380%. HGF levels were also higher afer red light stimulation (almost 100% increase). In addition, the chemokine CXCL16 was 1.6 fold increased afer red light treatment. Interestingly, the prominent factors VEGF and TGF-β were not markedly changed.

#### **Discussion**

Low level light therapy (LLLT) is a promising and fast expanding physical approach in various medical fi lds, especially to reduce pain and infammation and support tissue regeneratio[n20.](#page-9-16) Numerous *in vivo* studies indicated a positive effect of LLLT by laser light on wound healing associated with enhanced angiogenesis<sup>[4,](#page-9-0) 21</sup>. Endothelial cells are vital in wound healing and play a key role in angiogenesis. The direct effect of light on endothelial cells using lasers in the red spectrum has already been reported. Investigating the impact of laser light treatment on endothelial cells *in vitro* Schindl *et al.* showed positive effects of LLLT with 670 nm on HUVEC proliferation<sup>12</sup>. Also Chen *et al.* demonstrated the stimulatory effect of LLLT on HUVEC proliferation<sup>[22](#page-9-18)</sup> and concluded that laser irradiation increases endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and eNOS gene expression possibly by signalling via phosphoinositid-3 kinases. Szymanska *et al*. reported that increased proliferation of HUVEC mediated by light stimulation is mediated by an increase of VEGF and transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) levels<sup>23</sup>. However, there is still controversy whether LED light can be an effici talternative light source to lasers<sup>24</sup>. In a skin fap model in rats Dungel *et al*. confi med that red LED light induces pro-angiogenic efects, but found that also blue light can signifi antly increase angiogenesis<sup>[8](#page-9-10)</sup>. The direct impact of LED light of different wavelengths on endothelial cells was not investigated so far. Tus, in the present study we compared the impact of LLLT by pulsed LED light of 475 nm, 516 nm and 635 nm on regenerative processes in HUVEC. Light treatment of HUVEC in standard 2D cultures led to signifcant increased proliferation in the green and red light treated group 72h afer LLLT while there was only a trendwise increase in the blue light treated group. At this time point metabolic activity was decreased in all light treated groups which reached statistical signifi ance only in the blue light group. It has been reported that when using biophysical stimuli, data of proliferation and metabolic activity are not directly correlated. A study by Balzer *et al*. showed that an increase in cell activity by a resazurin-based assay was associated with a decrease in Hoechst-stained nuclei counts<sup>25</sup>.

Quent *et al*. reported on the discrepancies between metabolic activity and DNA content and concluded that metabolic assays may not accurately refl ct cellular proliferation rates due to a miscorrelation of metabolic activity and cell number<sup>26</sup>. Interestingly, although in this model light was only applied once, there were still significant

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Table 1. Effects of pulsed LED light on protein expression levels of HUVEC/ASC. After stimulation of HUVEC/ASC fbrin co-cultures with LLLT for 4 days every 24h, DDPIV, NRG1-b1 and PIGF were upregulated with blue light. HGF levels were increased afer green as well as red LLLT. Similar to blue LLLT, clots stimulated

with green light showed enhanced levels of NRG1-b1, but also serpin F1. The expression of CXCL16 was increased afer red light stimulation. Experiments were performed with pooled supernatants from three donors. Values represent means  $\pm$  standard deviation from two technical replicates.

efects observed 72hours afer stimulation. Th s is in line with recent data from our lab which showed that treatment by extracorporeal shock waves also had efects on EC and ASC proliferation several days afer one-time treatment<sup>[7,](#page-9-3) 27</sup>. These data may indicate that long-term cellular effects can be initiated by light therapy.

LLLT can either act directly on cells but also could initiate the release of specific mediators. As a fi st step to evaluate the secretom we analysed light-induced ROS formation. Low levels of ROS are important for signaling but higher doses can induce detrimental efects. We showed that, in contrast to red and green light, blue light led to a signifi ant formation of ROS, which might correlate with the negative efects of blue light on proliferation and migration.

Vasculogenesis involves complex steps of proliferation and migration of endothelial cells and the maturation of primitive vascular structures. We therefore performed 2D as well as 3D migration experiments with human endothelial cells. The reduction of artific ally created 2D scratch wounds was trendwise reduced by red LED light and reached signifi ance with green LED light treatment. The positive effect of red light is in line with the report of Teuschl *et al*. showing in scratch wound models that LLLT with red LED light increased myoblast, fbroblast and keratinocyte migration *in vitro* while blue LED light was ineffective<sup>28</sup>. Similar effects were seen when quantifying the migration of HUVEC in a 3D assay with enhanced migration in the green and red light stimulated groups while blue light was not efective. Fushimi *et al*. indicated similar efects in human keratinocytes and showed that red and green LED light stimulation enhances wound healing<sup>[29](#page-9-25)</sup>.

As endothelial cells are important for angiogenesis we aimed to investigate light-induced network formation in two separate *in vitro* models which mimick early events in angiogenic and vasculogenic processes. In a Matrigel assay no efects of either wavelength were detected. However, this assay only refl cts early vasculogenic events within approx. 24 hrs. Amongst other disadvantages, Matrigel contains an undefi ed mixture of pro-angiogenic cytokines derived from a mouse brain tumor. Tus, we also investigated the efects of LLLT in an *in vitro* 3D co-culture model developed in our lab. Using this model we have recently shown that mechanical stimulation with shockwaves enhances HUVEC vascular tube formation[7](#page-9-3) . In order to evaluate if light of diferent wavelengths may have similar stimulating efects, fbrin clots containing HUVEC and ASC at a ratio of 1:0.01 were stimulated with LLLT and the precursors of vascularization was quantifi d over 7 days. Since in previous studies it has been shown that a 1:1 seeding ratio usually leads to mature capillary network within one week even without any stimulation, a seeding ratio of 1:0.01 was chosen in order to observe the stimulating efects of LLLT on vasculogenic processes. At 1:0.01 ratio there was no network formation within 7 days of culture. However, it was possible to detect and quantify single-cell specific changes in morphology. Light treatment at all used wavelengths led to signifi ant stretching and elongation of HUVEC on day 4. Th s data is supported by Ricci *et al*. who investigated the efects of laser light on endothelial cell morphology and suggested that LLLT infuences the organization of endothelial cytoskeletons<sup>11</sup>. In this model also proliferation was signifi antly enhanced by red and green light determined by analysis of the cell-occupied area which is in accordance with the data obtained from the 2D proliferation assay. The positive trend of increased proliferation continued until day 7. Stretching and elongation of cells was still signifi antly enhanced by green and red light on day 7. In the present study positive efects on regenerative processes were demonstrated for green and red light while blue light was not effective. The discrepancy between the positive effects of blue LED light *in vivo*<sup>[5](#page-9-1)</sup> and ineffici cy *in vitro* as shown in this study might indicate diferent underlying mechanisms. Dungel *et al*. showed that blue light is most effici t to release the important mediator nitric oxide (NO) bound to mitochondrial complexes[13.](#page-9-8) In *in vivo* situations of ischemic wound healing NO is reduced from nitrite via oxygen-independent nitrite reductases. Thus, NO-mediated effects induced by blue light may play an important role in *in vivo* settings. The formation of NO was analysed in cell culture supernatants immediately afer illumination as well as on day 4. Although not signifi ant, both red and green light induced higher NO levels, which might be associated with the positive efects of these wavelengths on proliferation and migration. The fi ding that NO and ROS levels are indirectly correlated might once more point to diferent pathways activated by diferent wavelengths. Red light has also been reported to act via photobiomodulation of complexes in the respiratory chain of mitochondria, mainly cytochrome c oxidase. The role of green light in these processes has to be further elucidated. By observing expressed protein levels afer LLLT, we found that pro-angiogenic factors such as HGF, NRG1-b1, PIGF, CXCL16 and DPPIV as well as the anti-angiogenic factor serpin F1 were upregulated afer LLLT. Ieda *et al*. showed that HGF improves the recovery of hind limb ischemia by promoting angiogenesis<sup>30</sup>. Further, endothelial-derived NRG has an important function in ischemia-induced angiogenesis and arteriogenesis<sup>31</sup>. DPPIV serves as a mediator of angiogenesis and tissue remodelling and serves as a necessary protein involved in the proliferation of smooth muscle cell[s32.](#page-9-28) Interestingly, the expression of the analysed proteins did not correlate very well with the observed efects on migration and wound healing. The anti-angiogenic factor Serpin F1 was upregulated only in the green light group, while blue light that was inefective upregulated only pro-angiogenic factors. However, the co-culture model does not necessarily refl ct *in vivo* angiogenesis but rather vasculogenic events. The formation of vascular structures includes remodeling processes and a balance of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors. Our *in vitro* fndings suggest that an interplay of pro-angiogenic, remodelling, but also anti-angiogenic factors such as serpin F1 could be the driving factors of LLLT-mediated wound healing and angiogenesis *in vivo*.

In summary, LLLT by red and green LED light increased migration and proliferation of endothelial cells consistently in several independent models. Especially the positive efects of green light are novel and have to be tested in further studies. Te diference in the efects of blue light *in vivo* compared to *in vitro* raises interesting issues on the mechanisms of light therapy. Certainly *in vivo* situations are much more complex with many components coming into play. In our previous reports in *in vivo* models, in which blue light was efective, wound healing has been disturbed by ischemia. In this setting oxygen-independent nitrite synthases are active to bio-activate nitrite to NO and light can influence the activity of these enzymes<sup>[33](#page-9-29)</sup>. Blue light is also most effici to release NO which is bound to mitochondrial and other heme proteins<sup>5, [13](#page-9-8)</sup>. NO has been shown to play a key role in wound healing. Also light-induced NO-dependent vasodilation takes place *in vivo*[34](#page-9-30). All these mechanisms do not occur in *in vitro* systems. Therefore it is not remarkable that there are differences between *in vitro* and *in vivo*. However, *in vitro* studies are valuable and necessary to investigate the role and efects of selected cell types. Tus, although insight into possible mechanisms was gained in the last few years by performing *in vitro* investigation[s35,](#page-9-31) the infuence of diferent wavelengths may still hold great potential.

#### **Methods**

**Cells.** All experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Land Upper Austria. The informed consent was obtained from all subjects. The methods were carried out in accordance with the approved guidelines.

HUVEC were either purchased from Lonza (C2519-A or C2856; Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) or isolated from fresh umbilical veins as described before<sup>36</sup>. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) expressing HUVEC were purchased from Olaf pharmaceuticals (GFP; Olaf pharmaceuticals, Worcester, USA). The collection of human adipose tissue was approved by the local ethical board with patient's consent. Subcutaneous adipose tissue was obtained during routine outpatient liposuction procedures under local tumescence anaesthesia. Human ASC were isolated from liposuction material as described before<sup>37, [38](#page-9-34)</sup>. HUVEC, GFP-HUVEC and ASC were cultured in endothelial growth medium (EGM-2; Lonza) supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (FCS; GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK) at 37 °C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Endothelial cells were maintained in cell culture flasks (TPP, Trasadingen, Switzerland) coated with 2µg/ml bovine fbronectin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) whereas ASC were cultured on uncoated plastic surfaces (TPP). For experiments cells were seeded in 24-well plates.

**LLLT stimulation.** LED lamps for light therapy were provided by Repuls Lichtmedizintechnik GmbH, Austria. Cells were treated with pulsed LED light of either 475 nm (blue), 516 nm (green), 635 nm (red) or remained unstimulated (control). All LED devices had a peak irradiance intensity of 80 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> which was measured with a USB 2000 spectrometer (Ocean Optics, FL, USA). Given the pulse rate of 50% and a repetition frequency of 2.5 Hz an average irradiance intensity of 40 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> was reached. The daily dose provided was 24 J/ cm2 . Illumination was performed at room temperature for 10 min at a distance of 2 cm from the cells. For 2D experiments, cells were stimulated on day 0 only whereas for 3D experiments stimulation was performed every 24hours (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0).

**Proliferation and MTT assays.** To assess the effects of light treatment on HUVEC proliferation, 104 HUVEC were seeded to 24-well plates for each condition per time point and grown until 20% confuence. Cells were stimulated by LLLT on day 0 and further cultivated for up to 72 hours. Every day duplicates of cells were enzymatically detached with trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) and counted with a Neubauer counting chamber (VWR, Darmstadt, Germany).

To assess the efects of light treatment on HUVEC cell metabolic activity assay, HUVEC were as described above. However, instead of detaching, every day duplicates of cells subjected to MTT assays. Briefy, the supernatants were removed and cells were washed three times with PBS. MTT reagent (Sigma) was dissolved in  $1 \times PBS$ (5 g/L). Before adding to the cells, this stock solution was diluted with the respective growth medium to  $3.25$  g/L and the plate was incubated for 1 hour at 37 °C. The supernatant was removed and formazan crystals were dissolved using dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma). The plate was incubated on a shaker in the dark at room temperature for 20min and absorbance was measured at 540nm with 650nm as reference.

2D migration- Scratch assay. Scratch assays were performed as described previously<sup>[7](#page-9-3)</sup>. Briefly, 10<sup>4</sup> HUVEC were seeded to wells of a 24-well plate and grown until 100% confuence. To investigate migration in a 2D monolayer, an artific al wound was created by scraping the cells off the well with a 1000µl pipet tip (Greiner bio one, Kremsmünster, Austria) within a certain area. Scraped cells were removed by washing the monolayer twice with 1x phosphate bufer saline (PBS). 1 ml of EGM-2 medium was added to each well and LLLT was performed immediately. Images of the scratched wounds were taken directly after stimulation (0h) and after a 6 hours incubation time at 37 °C. Th ee images per scratch were taken to cover the whole scratched area of each well. In order to quantify the cell migration into the artific al wound, the cell-free area was quantifi d with ImageJ using the area measurement tool.

**3D migration- bead assay.** To analyze the effects of LLLT on 3D migration of HUVEC, cell-coated Cytodex 3 beads (GE Healthcare) were incorporated into 3D fbrin matrices and the migration of cells from the beads into the fbrin matrix was quantifi d. Terefore, cells at a seeding concentration of approximately 100 cells per bead ( $2 \times 10^5$  beads/ml) were incubated with beads in EGM-2 for 4 hours at 37 °C with occasional shaking every 20 min to ensure an even cell coating of the beads. The beads were then incubated overnight. The next day, 5  $\mu$ bead suspension (approximately 100 beads) was added to 5µl of fbrinogen (100mg/ml; Baxter, Vienna, Austria) and 90  $\mu$  EGM-2. The fibrinogen solution containing the cells was then mixed with 100  $\mu$ l thrombin (0.4 U/ml, Baxter, Vienna, Austria) resulting in fbrin gels with a fi al fbrin concentration of 2.5mg/ml and a total volume of 200 µl. These matrices were prepared on round coverglasses with 15 mm diameter (VWR, Radnor, USA) in 24 well plates. After polymerization at 37°C for 20 min EGM-2 was added to the wells. Gels on the coverglasses were stimulated 2hours afer polymerization of the fbrin gel and subsequently every 24hours until measurements and quantifi ations were conducted. The fibrin gels were stimulated every day for 4 consecutive days. The gels were fxed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, Sigma-Aldrich) for 6 hours at 4 °C while shaking and cell nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidin-2-phenylindol (DAPI) subsequently for 4 hours at 4 °C. The amount of migrated cells away from beads to the surrounding fbrin matrix was quantifi d by counting DAPI-positive cells in a determined region around the beads.

**HUVEC/ASC co-cultures in 3D fbrin matrices.** 3D co-cultures of GFP-HUVEC and human ASC in fibrin gels were prepared as described previously<sup>7, [18](#page-9-14), [19](#page-9-15)</sup>. In brief, both cell types were enzymatically detached with trypsin, counted, adjusted to a volume of 95 µl and mixed with 5 µl of 100 mg/ml fbrinogen (Baxter, Vienna, Austria) to a total volume of 100  $\mu$ l. GFP-HUVEC and ASC were either used in a 1:1 ratio (10<sup>5</sup> cells per cell type in each gel) or in a 1:0.01 ratio ( $10^5$  HUVEC seeded with  $10^3$  ASC). A 1:0.01 seeding ratio was chosen to be able to observe even small changes of vasculogenic events whereas a 1:1 seeding ratio usually leads to a mature capillary network afer one week even without stimulation. Terefore, it was possible to detect and quantify single-cell specifc changes in morphology and proliferation (1:0.01 co-cultures) as well as infuences of LED light therapy on the formation of mature networks.

**Quantification of fluorescent cells and vascular networks.** In order to quantify the vascular structure formation in light-stimulated GFP-HUVEC/ASC fbrin matrices, images were taken on day 4 and 7 (4 images per clot) on a Leica DMI6000B epifluorescence microscope (Leica, Solms, Germany) in a resolution of 1392 × 1040 pixels and stored in a TIF format. These timepoints were chosen to evaluate early vasculogenic events (day 4) but also maturation of the capillary network formed (day 7). For quantifying cell morphology changes in co-culture ratios of 1:0.01 afer 4 and 7 days of incubation, Cellprofler sofware version 2.11 was used. Initially a color to gray conversion was performed to obtain grayscale images. Subsequently Cellprofler's object detection routine was used to detect the individual cells. No further processing of the images was required. The build in "global background" threshold routine with a threshold correction factor of 2 was used to obtain accurate detection of the cells. The total number of cells, the fraction of area covered with cells and the form factor of each cell was measured. The form factor is defi ed as  $4*\pi*$  area/perimeter<sup>2</sup> (it equals 1 for a perfectly circular object) and represents cell elongation. The higher the form factor, the less elongated cells are. The obtained data was stored in comma separated values format and statistically analyzed.

**NO measurement.** Supernatants of 1:0.01 HUVEC/ASC co-cultures were collected afer 4 days of incubation and subjected to NO measurement. To assess the synthesis of nitric oxide (NO) after illumination,  $2.4 \times 10^4$  HUVECS were seeded in 24-well plates and grown to 80% confluence. The cells were illuminated and supernatant/medium samples were taken immediately and 4 days post-illumination. Nitric oxide was quantifi d with Sievers 280i-NO Analyzer (General Electrics) as previously described (Weidinger *et al*., Antioxid Redox Signal. 2015 Mar 1;22(7):572-86). Briefy, samples were injected into a glass vessel, containing vanadium chloride, a redox active reagent, which converts NO species into NO; this in turn reacts with ozone  $(O_3)$  and gives a chemiluminescence signal.

**Protein expression.** To determine protein expression changes mediated by LLLT in HUVEC:ASC co-cultures (1:0.01 ratio), supernatants of co-cultures were taken afer 4 days of incubation and measured with an angiogenesis protein array kit (RnD systems, Minneapolis, USA) by investigating 55 diferent angiogenesis-related cytokines. Proteins which were  $\geq$ 1.5 fold upregulated in light stimulated groups compared to control were considered. The protein blots were developed with an exposure time of 5 min.

**ROS formation.** For measurement of hydrogen peroxide formation, HUVEC were seeded in four wells of four 24-well plates at a density of 2.5 \* 104 cells/well. 24 hours later, at 70–80% confuence, cells were used for treatment. The medium was aspirated and replaced by 300 µL Krebs-HEPES buffer per well after two washing steps with 750 µL PBS/well. For inhibition of NADPH oxidase 3 µL of Diphenyleneiodonium (DPI – Sigma Aldrich, Germany, D2926), were added to two wells per plate to yield a fi al concentration of 1µM. Each plate was then randomly assigned to one group and irradiated accordingly for 10 minutes at a distance of 2 cm, while the control plate was wrapped in tin foil. Samples were taken and measured 5min, 15min and 30min afer treatment.

For measurement 50μL of the supernatant were transferred into two corresponding wells of a black, opaque 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Austria) and 50μL of Amplex® Red (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts, A12222) horseradish peroxidase (HRP – Sigma Aldrich, Germany, P8250) solution was added. The color reaction was analyzed using a POLARstar® Omega plate reader (BMG LABTECH GmbH, Germany) by measuring the fuorescent emission at 590nm (excitation wavelength at 544nm).

**Statistics.** Statistical differences were evaluated by using one-way ANOVA tests with Dunnett post testing to assess signifi ances with prior evaluating the presence of Gaussian distributions. All data sets are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation. P-values less than 0.05 were considered as signifi ant. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 4.0 sofware (GraphPad, San Diego, USA). All experiments were performed 6 times with HUVEC from two diferent single donors and one pooled donor HUVEC sample to reduce variation in combination with 3 diverging ASC donors for co-culture experiments. N=6 for all experiments except the evaluation of protein expression afer 4 days which was performed with pooled samples from three donors in duplicate.

#### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Rochkind, S. *et al*. Efcacy of 780-nm Laser Phototherapy on Peripheral Nerve Regeneration afer Neurotube Reconstruction Procedure (Double-Blind Randomized Study). *Photomedicine and Laser Surgery* **25**, 137–143 (2007).
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Aimbire, F. *et al*. Low-Level Laser Therapy Induces Dose-Dependent Reduction of TNFα Levels in Acute Inflammation. *Photomedicine and Laser Surgery* **24**, 33–37 (2006).
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. Silva Júnior, A. N. *et al*. Computerized Morphometric Assessment of the Efect of Low-Level Laser Terapy on Bone Repair: An Experimental Animal Study. *Journal of Clinical Laser Medicine & Surgery* **20**, 83–87 (2002).
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>4. Tuby, H., Maltz, L. & Oron, U. Modulations of VEGF and iNOS in the rat heart by low level laser therapy are associated with cardioprotection and enhanced angiogenesis. *Lasers Surg. Med.* **38**, 682–688 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>5. Mittermayr, R. *et al*. Blue Laser Light Increases Perfusion of a Skin Flap Via Release of Nitric Oxide from Hemoglobin. *Mol Med* **13**, 22–29 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>6. Dvorak, H. F., Brown, L. F., Detmar, M. & Dvorak, A. M. Vascular permeability factor/vascular endothelial growth factor, microvascular hyperpermeability, and angiogenesis. *Am J Pathol* **146**, 1029–1039 (1995).
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>7. Rohringer, S. *et al*. Molecular and Cellular Efects of *In Vitro* Shockwave Treatment on Lymphatic Endothelial Cells. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e114806 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>8. Dungel, P. *et al*. Low level light therapy by LED of diferent wavelength induces angiogenesis and improves ischemic wound healing. *Lasers Surg. Med.* **46**, 773–780 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>9. Park, I.-S., Chung, P.-S. & Ahn, J. C. Adipose-derived stromal cell cluster with light therapy enhance angiogenesis and skin wound healing in mice. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **462**, 171–177 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>10. Colombo, F. *et al*. Efect of low-level laser therapy (λ660nm) on angiogenesis in wound healing: a immunohistochemical study in a rodent model. *Braz Dent J* **24**, 308–312 (2013).
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>11. Ricci, R., Pazos, M. C., Borges, R. E. & Pacheco-Soares, C. Biomodulation with low-level laser radiation induces changes in endothelial cell actin flaments and cytoskeletal organization. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology B: Biology* **95**, 6–8 (2009).
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>12. Schindl, A., Merwald, H., Schindl, L., Kaun, C. & Wojta, J. Direct stimulatory efect of low-intensity 670 nm laser irradiation on human endothelial cell proliferation. *British Journal of Dermatology* **148**, 334–336 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>13. Dungel, P. *et al*. Illumination with blue light reactivates respiratory activity of mitochondria inhibited by nitric oxide, but not by glycerol trinitrate. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **471**, 109–115 (2008).
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>14. Adamskaya, N. *et al*. Light therapy by blue LED improves wound healing in an excision model in rats. *Injury* **42**, 917–921 (2011).
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>15. Huang, Y.-Y., Sharma, S. K., Carroll, J. & Hamblin, M. R. Biphasic Dose Response in Low Level Light Therapy - an Update. *Dose-Response* **9**, dose–response. 11–009. Hamblin (2011).
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>16. Barolet, D., Duplay, P., Jacomy, H. & Auclair, M. Importance of pulsing illumination parameters in low-level-light therapy. *J*. *Biomed*. *Opt* **15**, 048005–048005–8 (2010).
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>17. Brondon, P., Stadler, I. & Lanzafame, R. J. Pulsing infuences photoradiation outcomes in cell culture. *Lasers Surg. Med.* **41**, 222–226 (2009).
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>18. Holnthoner, W. *et al*. Adipose-derived stem cells induce vascular tube formation of outgrowth endothelial cells in a fbrin matrix. *Journal of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine* n/a–n/a, doi[:10.1002/term.1620](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/term.1620) (2012).
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>19. Rohringer, S. *et al*. Mechanisms of vasculogenesis in 3D fbrin matrices mediated by the interaction of adipose-derived stem cells and endothelial cells. *Angiogenesis* 1–13, doi[:10.1007/s10456-014-9439-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10456-014-9439-0) (2014).
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>20. Farivar, S., Malekshahabi, T. & Shiari, R. Biological Effects of Low Level Laser Therapy. *J Lasers Med Sci* 5, 58-62 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>21. Bossini, P. S. *et al*. Low-level laser therapy (670nm) on viability of random skin fap in rats. *Lasers Med Sci* **24**, 209–213 (2008).
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>22. Chen, C.-H., Hung, H.-S. & Hsu, S.-H. Low-energy laser irradiation increases endothelial cell proliferation, migration, and eNOS gene expression possibly via PI3K signal pathway. *Lasers Surg Med* **40**, 46–54 (2008).
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>23. Szymanska, J. *et al*. Phototherapy with low-level laser infuences the proliferation of endothelial cells and vascular endothelial growth factor and transforming growth factor-beta secretion. *J. Physiol. Pharmacol.* **64**, 387–391 (2013).
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>24. Chaves, M. E. & de, A. *et al*. Efects of low-power light therapy on wound healing: LASER x LED. *Anais Brasileiros de Dermatologia* **89**, 616–623 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>25. Balzer, J. H. *et al*. Non-Thermal Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) Effects on Proliferation and Differentiation of Human Fibroblasts Are Primary Mediated by Hydrogen Peroxide. *PLoS One* **10**, e0144968 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>26. Quent, V. M. *et al*. Discrepancies between metabolic activity and DNA content as tool to assess cell proliferation in cancer research. *JCell Mol Med* **14**, 1003–1013 (2010).
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>27. Schuh, C. M. A. P. *et al*. *In vitro* extracorporeal shock wave treatment enhances stemness and preserves multipotency of rat and human adipose-derived stem cells. *Cytotherapy* **16**, 1666–1678 (2014).
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>28. Teuschl, A., Balmayor, E. R., Redl, H., van Griensven, M. & Dungel, P. Phototherapy With LED Light Modulates Healing Processes in an *In Vitro* Scratch-Wound Model Using 3 Diferent Cell Types. *Dermatologic Surgery* **41**, 261–268 (2015).
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>29. Fushimi, T. *et al*. Green light emitting diodes accelerate wound healing: characterization of the efect and its molecular basis *in vitro* and *in vivo*. *Wound Repair Regen* **20**, 226–235 (2012).
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>30. Ieda *et al*. G-CSF and HGF: Combination of vasculogenesis and angiogenesis synergistically improves recovery in murine hind limb ischemia. *YJMCC* **42**, 540–548 (2006).
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>31. Hedhli, N. *et al*. Endothelial-derived neuregulin is an important mediator of ischaemia-induced angiogenesis and arteriogenesis. *Cardiovasc Res.* **93**, 516–24 (2012).
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>32. Kitlinska *et al*. Dual Role of Dipeptidyl Peptidase IV (DPP IV) in Angiogenesis and Vascular Remodeling. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* **524**, 215–222 (2003).
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>33. Ball, K. A. *et al*. Low intensity light stimulates nitrite-dependent nitric oxide synthesis but not oxygen consumption by cytochrome c oxidase: Implications for phototherapy. *J Photochem Photobiol B* **102**, 182–191 (2011).
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>34. Plass, C. A. *et al*. Light-induced vasodilation of coronary arteries and its possible clinical implication. *Ann Thorac Surg* **93**, 1181–1186 (2012).
- <span id="page-9-31"></span>35. Cury, V. *et al*. Low level laser therapy increases angiogenesis in a model of ischemic skin fap in rats mediated by VEGF, HIF-1α and MMP-2. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B, Biol.* **125**, 164–170 (2013).
- <span id="page-9-32"></span>36. Petzelbauer, P., Bender, J. R., Wilson, J. & Pober, J. S. Heterogeneity of dermal microvascular endothelial cell antigen expression and cytokine responsiveness *in situ* and in cell culture. *J. Immunol.* **151**, 5062–5072 (1993).
- <span id="page-9-33"></span>37. Wolbank, S. *et al*. Dose-Dependent Immunomodulatory Efect of Human Stem Cells from Amniotic Membrane: A Comparison with Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells from Adipose Tissue. *Tissue Engineering* **13**, 1173–1183 (2007).
- <span id="page-9-34"></span>38. Charwat, V. *et al*. Potential and limitations of microscopy and Raman spectroscopy for live-cell analysis of 3D cell cultures. *Jbiotec.* **205**, 70–81 (2015).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank Tanja Stoegerer and Sergiu Dumitrescu, MSc for their valuable help with NO analyses. Th s study was supported by FFG BRIDGE grant #3925263.

#### **Author Contributions**

S.R., W.H. and P.D. conceived and designed the research. S.R., S.C., K.P. and S.M. performed the experiments and contributed to the manuscript. S.R., S.C. and P.D. analyzed the data. W.H. supported the study by providing critical input and knowledge in the fi ld of vascular tissue engineering. P.S. performed image quantifi ation analyses. M.S. characterized the LED parameters. E.P. isolated and provided ASC. S.R. and P.D. wrote the manuscript with revisions from all authors. H.R. and P.D. supervised the project.

### **Additional Information**

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at doi[:10.1038/s41598-017-11061-y](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11061-y)

**Competing Interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Publisher's note:** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**T** Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\odot$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2017